



## Gobio uranoscopus

---

<b>Annex</b>	II
<b>Priority</b>	No
<b>Species group</b>	Fish
<b>Regions</b>	Alpine, Continental, Pannonian

The Danube Gudgeon is a freshwater fish from the carps family restricted to the Danube drainage, where inhabits riffles of small, fast flowing rivers and bottom of larger rivers with strong currents. Its valid name is *Romanogobio uranoscopus* (Agassiz, 1828) (<http://www.fishbase.org/summary/Gobio-uranoscopus.html>). It is listed in the Habitats Directive under the name *Gogio albipinnatus*.

Its conservation status is "unfavourable-inadequate" and deteriorating in the Alpine region; however, in Austria its status is 'unfavourable-bad', and in Slovenia 'favourable'.

In the Continental region its status is "unfavourable-inadequate" and deteriorating; however, in Austria and Germany its status is 'unfavourable-bad'.

Its conservation status is "unfavourable-inadequate" and deteriorating in the Pannonian region due to the poor condition in Slovakia, which contrasts with the reported 'favourable' status in Hungary.


Main pressures are modification of hydrographic functioning (reservoirs, weirs), barriers to migration (small hydropower), modification of river structure (e.g. land sealing of riparian zones), removal of sediments and pollution.

The species is classified by IUCN as 'least concern' (<http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/9298/0>)

# Species: *Gobio uranoscopus*

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

## Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level



Region	Conservation status (CS) of parameters				Current CS	Trend in CS	% in region	Previous CS	Reason for change
	Range	Population	Habitat	Future prospects					
ALP	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	-	25	<span style="background-color: red; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U2</span>	Not genuine
CON	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	-	72	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	
PAN	<span style="background-color: grey; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">XX</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	<span style="background-color: orange; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">U1</span>	-	3	<span style="background-color: grey; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">XX</span>	Not genuine

See the endnote for more information<sup>i</sup>

Species: *Gobio uranoscopus*  
Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

## Assessment of conservation status at the Member State level



### *Gobio uranoscopus*

Distribution and conservation status at the Member State level



The map shows both Conservation Status and distribution using a 10 km x 10 km grid. Conservation status is assessed at biogeographical level. Therefore the representation in each grid cell is only illustrative.

# Species: *Gobio uranoscopus*

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

MS Region	Conservation status of parameters				Current CS	Trend in CS	% in region	Previous CS	Reason for change
	Range	Population	Habitat	Future prospects					
AT ALP	U2	U2	XX	U2	U2	x	1.1	U2	Changed method
BG ALP	U1	FV	U1	U1	U1	-	32.8		
RO ALP	FV	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	59.4		
SI ALP	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		2.8	U1	Better data
SK ALP	XX	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	3.9	XX	Better data
AT CON	U2	U2	U2	U2	U2	-	1.1	U2	Changed method
BG CON	U1	FV	U1	U1	U1	-	58.0		
DE CON	U2	U2	U1	U1	U2	=	0.2		
RO CON	FV	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	32.9		
SI CON	FV	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	7.8	U1	Better data
HU PAN	FV	FV	FV	FV	FV		54.5	XX	Better data
SK PAN	XX	U1	U1	U1	U1	-	45.5	XX	Better data

Knowing that not all changes in conservation status between the reporting periods were genuine, Member States were asked to give the reasons for changes in conservation status. Bulgaria and Romania only joined the EU in 2007 and Greece did not report for 2007-12 so no reason is given for change for these countries. Greek data shown above is from 2001-06.

## Main pressures and threats reported by Member States

Member States were asked to report the 20 most important threats and pressures using an agreed hierarchical list which can be found on the [Article 17 Reference Portal](#). Pressures are activities which are currently having an impact on the species and threats are activities expected to have an impact in the near future. Pressures and threats were ranked in three classes 'high, medium and low importance'; the tables below only show threats and pressures classed as 'high', for some species there were less than ten threats or pressures reported as highly important.

### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' pressures

Code	Activity	Frequency
J02	Changes in water bodies conditions	58
J03	Other changes to ecosystems	33
H01	Pollution to surface waters	8

### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' threats

Code	Activity	Frequency
J02	Changes in water bodies conditions	60
J03	Other changes to ecosystems	33
H01	Pollution to surface waters	7

## Proportion of population covered by the Natura 2000 network

For species listed in the Annex II of the Directive Member States were asked to report the population size within the Natura 2000 network. The percentage of species population covered by the network was estimated by comparing the population size within the network and the total population size in the biogeographical/marine region.

### Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region

	ALP	CON	PAN
<b>AT</b>	x	x	
<b>BG</b>	70	30	
<b>DE</b>		100	
<b>HU</b>			100
<b>RO</b>	100	100	
<b>SI</b>	100	33	
<b>SK</b>	10		x

See the endnotes for more information<sup>ii</sup>

## Most frequently reported conservation measures

For species listed in the Annex II of the Directive Member States were asked to report up to 20 conservation measures being implemented for this species using an agreed list which can be found on the Article 17 Reference Portal. Member States were further requested to highlight up to five most important ('highly important') measures; the table below only shows measures classed as 'high', for many species there were less than ten measures reported as highly important.

### Ten most frequently reported 'highly important' conservation measures

Code	Measure	Frequency
4.2	Restoring/improving the hydrological regime	32
4.1	Restoring/improving water quality	18
6.3	Legal protection of habitats and species	14
7.2	Regulation/ Management of fishery in limnic systems	14
4.3	Managing water abstraction	9
6.1	Establish protected areas/sites	9
4.0	Other wetland-related measures	5

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive in 2013 and covering the period 2007-2012. More detailed information, including the MS reports, is available at:

<http://bd.eionet.europa.eu/article17/reports2012/species/summary/?group=Fish&period=3&subject=Gobio+uranoscopus>

# Species: *Gobio uranoscopus*

Report under the Article 17 of the Habitats Directive

**i Assessment of conservation status at the European biogeographical level:** Current Conservation Status (Current CS) shows the status for the reporting period 2007-2012, Previous Conservation Status (Previous CS) for the reporting period 2000-2006. Reason for change in conservation status between the reporting periods indicates whether the changes in the status were genuine or not genuine. Previous Conservation Status was not assessed for Steppic, Black Sea and Marine Black Sea regions. For these regions the Previous status is therefore considered as 'unknown'. The percentage of the species population occurring within the biogeographical/marine region (% in region) is calculated based on the area of GIS distribution.

**ii Percentage of coverage by Natura 2000 sites in biogeographical/marine region:** In some cases the population size within the Natura 2000 network has been estimated using a different methodology to the estimate of overall population size and this can lead to percentage covers greater than 100%. In such case the value has been given as 100% and highlighted with an asterisk (\*). The value 'x' indicates that the Member State has not reported the species population and/or the coverage by Natura 2000. No information is available for Greece. The values are only provided for regions, in which the occurrence of the species has been reported by the Member States.