


Species name: **Coenonympha oedippus**
Annex: **II, IV**

Species group: **Invertebrates**
Regions: **ALP ATL CON PAN**

Assessments of conservation status at the European level (all biogeographical regions - EU25)




MS	Region	Conservation status assessment					Population size & unit	Population Trend
		Range	Population	Habitat	Future prospects	Overall		
EU25	ALP	Unfavourable bad	Unknown	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable bad	48 grids	
EU25	ATL	Unfavourable bad	Unfavourable bad	Unfavourable bad	Unfavourable bad	Unfavourable bad		-
EU25	CON	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	80 grids	
EU25	PAN	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unknown	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	Unfavourable - inadequate	3 - 6 colony	X

The false ringlet is a butterfly occurring mostly in central and western Europe, distributed between 43 and 48 degrees northern latitude in very isolated populations. It can be found in not modified wet habitats, at climax or paraclimax state.

For Continental and Pannonian regions overall assessments are 'unfavourable-inadequate' since it was reported as such in all national reports (even 'unfavourable-bad' by Poland). For Alpine and Atlantic its conservation status is: 'unfavourable-bad'. According to IUCN categorisation it was assessed as 'nearly threatened'.

Assessments of conservation status as reported by Member states (all biogeographical regions - EU25)



MS	Region	Conservation status assessment					Size&unit	Population trend	Data quality
		Range	Population	Habitat	Future prospects	Overall			
AT	ALP						2 - 2 loc.	-	1
FR	ALP						N/A x	X	3
IT	ALP						22 - 25 loc.	-	2
SI	ALP						(3) - 3 loc.	-	2
FR	ATL						N/A indiv.	-	3
AT	CON						1 - 1 loc.	-	1
FR	CON						N/A x	X	3
IT	CON						71 - 73 loc.	-	2
PL	CON						1 - 3 loc.	-	1
SI	CON						41 - (41) grids	-	2
HU	PAN						3 - 6 colony	X	2

Data quality is based on an assessment by each Member State, 1 = good, 2 = medium, 3 = poor

This information is derived from the Member State national reports submitted to the European Commission under Article 17 of the Habitats Directive in 2007 and covering the period 2001-2006. More detailed information is available at

<http://biodiversity.eionet.europa.eu/article17>