# A5.35 Pontic upper circalittoral sandy mud

## **Summary**

The habitat is present in the Black Sea on areas of infralittoral muddy sand substrate. It is also present in the Sea of Marmara. Eutrophication is the main historic pressure on this habitat. Additional pressures include: trawling, chemical pollution and disturbance from anthropogenic activities. Conservation and management measures relevant to this habitat include: measures to maintain physical and biological integrity, improvement of water quality, pollution event response strategies, survey and monitoring programs and raised public awareness.

## **Synthesis**

Detailed information on the abundance and extent of this habitat is lacking. Information on the quantity and quality of this habitat including historical or recent trends is unknown. For the purposes of Red List assessment this habitat is considered to be Data Deficient.

| Overall Category & Criteria |                   |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| EU 28 EU 28+                |                   |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red List Category           | Red List Criteria | Red List Category | Red List Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Deficient              | -                 | Data Deficient    | -                 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sub-habitat types that may require further examination

None

# **Habitat Type**

## **Code and name**

A5.35 Pontic upper circalittoral sandy mud

There are currently no photographs available of this habitat.

## **Habitat description**

This habitat consists of sandy muds in the upper circalittoral zone. It is found all around the Black Sea coast. There is no light influence. The habitat is characterised by faunal communities dominated by bivalve molluscs and polychaete worms.

Indicators of quality:

Both biotic and abiotic indicators have been used to describe marine habitat quality. These include; the presence of characteristic species and those which are sensitive to the pressures the habitat may face, water quality parameters, levels of exposure to particular pressure as well as and more integrated indices which describe habitat structure and function, such as trophic index, or successional stages of development in habitats that have a natural cycle of change over time. There are no commonly agreed indicators of quality for this habitat, although particular parameters may have been set in certain situations e.g. protected features within Natura 2000 sites, where reference values have been determined and applied on a location-specific basis.

Characteristic species:

Heteromastus filiformis, Dipolydora quadrilobata, Nephthys hombergii and Spisula subtruncata.

#### Classification

This habitat may be equivalent to, or broader than, or narrower than the habitats or ecosystems in the following typologies.

EUNIS (v1405):

Level 4. A sub-habitat of 'Circalittoral mud' (A5.3)

#### Annex 1:

1110 Sandbanks slightly covered all the time

1130 Estuaries

MAES:

Marine - Marine inlets and transitional waters

Marine - Coastal

MSFD:

Shallow sublittoral sediment (coarse, sand, mud, mixed)

EUSeaMap:

Shallow sands

Shallow muds

**IUCN:** 

9.5 Subtidal sandy mud

# Does the habitat type present an outstanding example of typical characteristics of one or more biogeographic regions?

Unknown

<u>Justification</u>

There is insufficient knowledge and information on this habitat to state whether it is an outstanding example of this biogeographic region.


# **Geographic occurrence and trends**

| Region    | Present or Presence<br>Uncertain              | Current area of habitat | Recent trend in quantity (last 50 yrs) | Recent trend in quality (last 50 yrs) |  |
|-----------|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Black Sea | Black Sea: Present<br>Sea of Marmara: Present | Unknown Km²             | Unknown                                | Unknown                               |  |

## Extent of Occurrence, Area of Occupancy and habitat area

|        | Extent of Occurrence (EOO) | Area of<br>Occupancy<br>(AOO) | Current<br>estimated Total<br>Area | Comment  |
|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| EU 28  | Unknown Km²                | Unknown                       | Unknown Km²                        | The habitat is known to occur in the Black Sea but there is insufficient data to accurately calculate EOO and AOO. |
| EU 28+ | Unknown Km²                | Unknown                       | Unknown Km²                        | The habitat is known to occur in the Black Sea but there is insufficient data to accurately calculate EOO and AOO. |





There is insufficient data to produce a map of the distribution of this habitat.

# How much of the current distribution of the habitat type lies within the EU 28?

It is unknown how much of this habitat is hosted by the EU28 in the Black Sea.

# Trends in quantity

There is insufficient data to accurately assess changes in quantity of the habitat

• Average current trend in quantity (extent)

EU 28: Unknown EU 28+: Unknown

• Does the habitat type have a small natural range following regression?

Unknown

Justification

The habitat is known to occur in the Black Sea but there is insufficient data to accurately calculate EOO

and AOO. There is insufficient data to accurately assess whether the habitat has undergone a significant decline (>25% of extent) in the last 50 years.

• Does the habitat have a small natural range by reason of its intrinsically restricted area? Unknown

Justification

There is insufficient data and knowledge on this habitat to state whether it has a small natural range by reason of an intrinsically restricted area.

## Trends in quality

There is insufficient data to accurately assess changes in quality of the habitat

Average current trend in quality

EU 28: Unknown EU 28+: Unknown

#### **Pressures and threats**

Eutrophication as a result of nutrient enrichment (N, P and organic matter) is the most significant historic pressure on the habitat. Anoxic and hypoxic conditions due to eutrophication caused mass mortalities in benthic communities. Since the 1990s this pressure has reduced due to tighter controls on pollution in the catchment of the Danube and other rivers which enter the north-west Black Sea. Whilst this pressure is now reduced it is still a continuing threat in the current and future periods. This is especially true for non EU countries surrounding the Black Sea which are not bound by the agreements such as the Water Framework Directive (WFD).

The habitat is likely to be sensitive to:

Trawling is a current and future threat to the habitat causing deterioration and habitat destruction by damaging benthic communities both directly and indirectly through effects such as smothering and altering the sediment characteristics. Demersal trawling is prohibited in some states. However, illegal trawling is still an issue in these areas.

Chemical pollution is a threat of current and future importance which at its most severe can result in species can lead to mortality. High mortality rates can lead to a reduction in extent. Lower mortality rates will result in a reduction in habitat quality. Chemical pollution may also affect the size and growth rate of some of the associated fauna.

Bottom dredging and trawling is a threat of current and future importance. This causes habitat destruction leading to in a reduction in extent and quality.

## List of pressures and threats

## Biological resource use other than agriculture & forestry

Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources
Professional active fishing

## **Pollution**

Nutrient enrichment (N, P, organic matter)

Input of contaminants (synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) - diffuse sources, point sources, acute events

# **Conservation and management**

Conservation and management measures which would benefit this habitat include implementing measures to maintain physical and biological integrity, including pollution control and regulation, improvement of water quality management outside EU member states, contingency plans to be followed in the event of a major pollution incident, survey and monitoring programmes, raised public awareness of ecological value and vulnerability.

# List of conservation and management needs

#### Measures related to marine habitats

Other marine-related measures

## Measures related to urban areas, industry, energy and transport

Other measures

#### **Conservation status**

Annex 1:

1110: MBLS U1, MMED XX

# When severely damaged, does the habitat retain the capacity to recover its typical character and functionality?

There is insufficient data and knowledge of this habitat to assess its capacity to recover

**Effort required** 

| 10 years |  |
|----------|--|
| Unknown  |  |

#### **Red List Assessment**

**Criterion A: Reduction in quantity** 

| Criterion A | A1        | A2a       | A2b       | A3        |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| EU 28       | unknown % | unknown % | unknown % | unknown % |
| EU 28+      | unknown % | unknown % | unknown % | unknown % |

There is insufficient data on changes in quantity of this habitat to undertake an assessment using criterion A.

Criterion B: Restricted geographic distribution

| Criterion B |                | B1      |         |         |         | B3      |         |         |         |
|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Criterion B | E00            | a       | b       | С       | A00     | a       | b       | С       | CO      |
| EU 28       | unknown<br>Km² | Unknown |
| EU 28+      | unknown<br>Km² | Unknown |

The precise extent of the habitat is unknown. Therefore there is insufficient data to produce EOO and AOO figures.

Criterion C and D: Reduction in abiotic and/or biotic quality

| Criteria | C/                                | D1        | C/              | D2                   | C/D3            |                      |  |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| C/D      | Extent Relative affected severity |           | Extent affected | Relative<br>severity | Extent affected | Relative<br>severity |  |
| EU 28    | unknown %                         | unknown % | unknown %       | unknown %            | unknown %       | unknown %            |  |
| EU 28+   | unknown %                         | unknown % | unknown %       | unknown %            | unknown %       | unknown %            |  |

|             | C               | 1                  | C                   | 2                                 | C3                  |                      |  |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|
| Criterion C | Extent affected |                    |                     | Extent Relative affected severity |                     | Relative<br>severity |  |
| EU 28       | unknown %       | nknown % unknown % |                     | unknown %                         | unknown %           | unknown %            |  |
| EU 28+      | unknown %       | unknown %          | unknown % unknown % |                                   | unknown % unknown % |                      |  |

|             | I               | D1                   | I                                 | 02       | D3              |                      |  |
|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| Criterion D | Extent affected | Relative<br>severity | Extent Relative affected severity |          | Extent affected | Relative<br>severity |  |
| EU 28       | unknown %       | nknown % unknown%    |                                   | unknown% | unknown %       | unknown%             |  |
| EU 28+      | unknown %       | unknown%             | unknown %                         | unknown% | unknown %       | unknown%             |  |

Experts consider there to be insufficient data to conduct an assessment using criteria C/D.

# **Criterion E: Quantitative analysis to evaluate risk of habitat collapse**

| Criterion E | Probability of collapse |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| EU 28       | unknown                 |
| EU 28+      | unknown                 |

There is no quantitative analysis available to estimate the probability of collapse of this habitat type.

## Overall assessment "Balance sheet" for EU 28 and EU 28+

|       | A1 | A2a | A2b | A3 | В1 | B2 | В3 | C/D1 | C/D2 | C/D3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | D1 | D2 | D3 | Е  |
|-------|----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|------|------|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| EU28  | DD | DD  | DD  | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD   | DD   | DD   | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD |
| EU28+ | DD | DD  | DD  | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD   | DD   | DD   | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD | DD |

| Overall Category & Criteria       |                   |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| EU                                | 28+               |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red List Category                 | Red List Criteria | Red List Category | Red List Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data Deficient - Data Deficient - |                   |                   |                   |  |  |  |  |  |

## **Confidence in the assessment**

Low (mainly based on uncertain or indirect information, inferred and suspected data values, and/or limited expert knowledge)

## Assessors

S. Beal, G. Komakhidze, D. Micu, V. Mihneva, N. Milchakova, B. Yokes

#### **Contributors**

S. Beal, G. Komakhidze, D. Micu, V. Mihneva, N. Milchakova, B. Yokes

#### **Reviewers**

L. Bat

#### Date of assessment

19/03/2015

#### Date of review

20/01/2016

## References

Anon. 2006. The northwestern part of the Black Sea: biology and ecology. Kiev: Naukova Dumka. 701pp.

Arnoldi, L.V. 1949. Materials on the quantitative study of the Black Sea zoobenthos. II Karkinitsky Bay (in Russian). *Proceedings of the Sevastopol Biological Station*: 8.

Bacescu, M.C., Muller, G.I., Gomoiu, M-T. 1971. Cercetari de ecologie bentica in Marea Neagra (analiza cantitativa, calitativa si comparata a faunei bentice pontice). Ecologie Marina vol. IV. Editura Academiei R.S.R., Bucuresti, 357 pp..

Bacescu, M., 1977. Les biocenoses benthiques de la Mer Noire. *Biologie des eaux saumatres de la Mer Noire, Premiere partie*: 128-134.

Borisenko, A.M. 1946. Quantitative accounting of benthic fauna of the Tendra Bay, Kara Dag. 201p.

Chernyakov, D. A. 1995. Natural-aquatic landscape complexes of the Tendra and Egorlyk bays and monitoring of their state in Black Sea Biosphere Reserve

Kiseleva, M.I. 1981. Benthos of Black Sea mobile substrates. Naukova dumka, Kiev, pp 165.

Konsulov, A. 1998. *Black Sea Biological Diversity: Bulgaria. Volume 5 of Black Sea environmental series.* United Nations Publications, New York, USA.

Marinov, T. 1990. *The zoobenthos from the Bulgarian Sector of the Black Sea*. Publishing house of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, pp 195 (in Bulgarian).

Micu, D., Zaharia, T., Todorova, V., Niţă, V. 2007. *Romanian Marine Habitats of European Interest.* Punct Ochit Publishers, Constanţa, Romania.

Micu, D. 2008. Open Sea and Tidal Areas. In: Gafta D. and Mountford J.O. (eds.) *Natura 2000 Habitat Interpretation Manual for Romania*. EU publication no. EuropeAid/121260/D/SV/RO, 101pp. ISBN 978-973-751-697-8.

Micu, D., Zaharia, T., Todorova, V. 2008. Natura 2000 habitat types from the Romanian Black Sea. In: Zaharia T, Micu D, Todorova V, Maximov V, Niţă V. *The development of an indicative ecologically coherent network of marine protected areas in Romania*. Romart Design Publishing, Constanta, Romania.

Moncheva, S., Todorova, V., (eds). 2013. Initial assessment of the marine environment. Article 8, MSFD 2008/56/EC and NOOSMV (2010). 500p

Petranu, A. 1997. Black Sea Biological Diversity: Romania. Volume 4 of the Black Sea Environmental Series. United Nations Publications, New York, USA.

Revkov, N., Abaza, V., Dumitrache, C., Todorova, Konsulova, T., Mickashavidze, E., Varshanidze, M., Sezgin, M., Ozturk, B., Chikina, M.V., Kucheruk, N.V. 2008. The State of Zoobenthos. In: State of the Environment of the Black Sea (2001-2006/7) Ed.(Temel Oğuz) Publications of the Comission on hte Protection of the Black Sea Against Pollution (BSC), 2008-3, Istanbul, Turkey, 448 p.

Salomidi, M., Katsanevakis, S., Damalas, D., Mifsud, R., Todorova, V., Pipitone, C., Fernandez, T. V., Mirto,

S., Galparsoro, I., Pascual, M., Borja, Á., Rabaut, M., Braeckman, U. 2010. *Monitoring and Evaluation of Spatially Managed Areas. Catalogue of European seabed biotopes. Deliverable* 1.2. Available at: http://www.mesma.org/default.asp?ZNT=S0T10-1P24. (Accessed: 19/08/2015).

Terentyev, A. S. 2002. State of of the bottom community of the sandy bottom in Opuksky Nature Reserve. eserves of Crimea. Biodiversity in the priority areas: 5 years after Gurzuf. / Materials of II scientific conference: 250-253.

Terentyev, A. S. 2011. Macrozoobenthos of coastal part of the Kerch Bay (summer, 2009). Ecology of cities and recreational areas. / All\_Ukrainian Scientific Conference Proceedings of articles: 261-263.

Zaika, V.E., Boltachev, A.R., Zuev, G.V., Kovalev, A.V., Milchakova, N.A., Sergeeva, N.G. 2004. Floristic and faunistic changes in the Crimean Black Sea shelf after 1995 – 1998, *Marine Ecological Journal*, 3(2), p. 37-44.

Zaitsev, Y.P., Alexandrov, B.G. 1998. *Black Sea Biological Diversity: Ukraine. Volume 7 of the Black Sea Environmental Series*. United Nations Publications, New York, USA.