# Skype Conference 14.02.19 with Hans-Martin Füssel

Participants: Hans Martin Füssel, Mikael Hilden, Willem Ligtvoet

## Agenda

1. Structure of scoping paper
2. First impression from literature uploaded to the library
3. How will involvement of EEA people beyond Martin take place?
4. How do we work together with EEA (meetings, …) --> milestones
5. Next steps.

## Other information

* Martin will update Blaz and Andre on Tuesday (18 February)

## Discussion

* How to measure policy relevance
  + Measure: how often has EEA output be cited in EC texts
  + Follow up with EEA Communications departments on availability of information

## Structure of scoping paper

* **Chapter 1:** 
  + EEA expectation: should be done largely by EEA based on ongoing expectations
  + Martin will look at evaluation of SOER2015 for inspiration
  + Evaluation of Adaptation Strategy – some needs can be extracted from there.
  + Chapter 1 should reflect the EEA internal discussion, culture and decisions and current development.
  + ! Develop key questions by ETC to support the EEA internal process
* **Chapter 2**
  + Only policies can be copied from SOER2020, not policy demand!
  + Key term: “knowledge gaps” 🡪 search criteria…
  + Good document to start “[COM(2018)738 final](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A52018DC0738)” (on adaptation strategy)… . Also [SWD(2018)461](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=SWD:2018:461:FIN), including Annex VIII.4 on knowledge gaps. Action 4 under adaptation strategy (documents are already available [here](https://projects.eionet.europa.eu/2019-scoping-paper-eea-future-work-cciv/library/eu_policy))
  + Mikael: Would be good with a overview of knowledge gaps to map the options against the knowledge gaps!
  + Martin: we have to expect that knowledge gaps will become more and more specific and local, which cannot be addressed by EEA
  + Not every demand will show up in high-level commission documents (e.g. private industry, national activities, ….) 🡪 do not only limit on EC documents.
  + Willem: MRE of Adaptation? Martin -> beyond the scope of this report
  + Martin: idea (but probably too ambitious): Matrix with major Risks and Vulnerabilities vs. national adaptation strategies.
  + How much “adaptation” in the report: 🡪 options should be proposed by ETC team
    - Mikael: Adaptation should be part, but not necessary an assessment on status of adaptation…
    - Martin: look at EEA reports over the last 4 years on Adaptation (EEA Homepage 🡪 publications 🡪 Adaptation… 34 publications 🡪 Chapter 4
* **Chapter 3**
  + Check other international reports as good example (some are in the library [here](https://projects.eionet.europa.eu/2019-scoping-paper-eea-future-work-cciv/library/reports_international))
  + Martin: two types of EEA reports
    - Regular reports based on country data and reporting obligations (based on EU law)
    - Other reports responding to specific policy needs or more forward-looking (generally irregular or one-off).
  + Martin: until now EEA did not do a systematic assessment of the policy impact of their reports (exception: SOER). Share example of “theory of change” 🡪 send example: Mikael
* **Chapter 4**
  + Next phone conf. on 27th of February between Blaz, Martin and C3S on status of C3s. Afterwards we can decide to have a specific meeting.
* **Chapter 5**
  + Martin: feel free to add more options (also inspired from findings in chapter 3)
  + Imagine “you are the project manager” 🡪 what options would you like to consider?
  + Mikael: not too many options

## First impression from literature uploaded to the library

* 1. How important is adaptation and adaptation policy?
  2. How to define policy relevance

## How will involvement of EEA (and external) experts beyond Martin take place?

* 1. Blaz Kurnik, Andre Jol, others (e.g. Communications Programme and SOER coordinators) …
  2. Main role: EEA expectations on content:
     1. What does EEA want to achieve and how can it be “measured”
     2. Policy relevance
  3. Contact to C3S etc…
  4. Involvement of DG CLIMA and Eionet (NRCs)

## How do we work together with EEA (meetings, …) --> milestones

* In the next weeks, process should be lead by ETC task team, later Martin will get more involved…

## Next steps.

# ToDos

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **What** | **Chapter** | **Who** | **Start** | **End** | **Status** | **Notes** |
| Annotated Table of Content / Outline |  |  |  |  |  | Key inputs, who is leading, what is needed from Martin / EEA |
| Create Workplan |  |  |  |  |  |  |